1	Q.	Further to NP-125 and NP-126, regarding Newfoundland Power's generation					
2		credit:					
3							
4		a.	What is the net capacity credit (i.e. generation credit less 'adjustment				
5			to include load supplied by NP')				
6							
7		b.	How does this generation credit impact the revenue requirement from				
8			Newfoundland Power? What is the total amount of the impact?				
9							
10		C.	Provide a revised cost of service assuming that Newfoundland				
11			Power's peak is not reduced for generation credit.				
12							
13							
14	A.	a.	NP-126 provided the calculation of the total capacity credit for				
15			Newfoundland Power (i.e. 124.8 MW). This generation credit is				
16			applied to Newfoundland Power's native peak demand in the COS.				
17			The reference in NP-126 to "Adjustment to include load supplied by				
18			Newfoundland Power" (i.e. 47 MW) is the amount of generation which				
19			Hydro expects Newfoundland Power to be running at the time of				
20			Hydro's system peak. The application of this adjustment in NP-125				
21			results in Newfoundland Power's native peak to which the full capacity				
22			credit can then be applied.				
23							
24		b.	The generation credit impacts the revenue requirement from				
25			Newfoundland Power in the following ways:				
26							

			2001 General I	IC-251 Rate Application
1		i)	Production and transmission demand allocatio	Page 2 of 3 n factors include
2			the generation credit, net of Newfoundland Po	wer's assumed
3			generation, as follows:	
4				
5			January MW as per load forecast	1026.8
6			Plus: NP expected generation	47.0
7			Less: NP generation credit	(120.5)
8			MW (before losses) used for Coincident Peak	<u>953.3</u>
9				
10			¹ To be corrected in final COS.	
11				
12		i)	The system load factor is calculated using the	customer-level
13			Coincident Peak.	
14				
15		ii)	Newfoundland Power's Coincident Peak also f	actors into the
16			allocation of the rural deficit.	
17				
18		Beca	use of the limitations stated in the response to p	art c, we are
19		unabl	e to determine the total dollar impact. However	, based on the
20		Cost	of Service attached, the dollar impact is to adjus	t Newfoundland
21		Powe	r's Revenue Requirement (after deficit) by \$1,37	70,848.
22				
23	C.	Pleas	e see attached Cost of Service Study. Both the	generation to
24		increa	ase Newfoundland Power's demand to native loa	ad and the
25		gener	ration credit have been removed from the calcula	ation of demand
26		alloca	tion factors. It must be noted that the results ca	annot be
27		consi	dered meaningful, as they are based on Hydro's	existing load
28		foreca	ast (i.e. 1026.8 MW). That forecast made assur	nptions
29		conce	erning Newfoundland Power's load, which are no	ot consistent with

2001 General Rate Application Page 3 of 3

the forecast supplied by the customer. In their forecast,
Newfoundland Power assumed 93.7 MW of hydro capacity on at the
time of peak whereas Hydro assumed 47 MW, based on historic
analysis, for its forecast. The treatment of Newfoundland Power, with
the Board-approved demand credit made Newfoundland Power's load
indifferent to Hydro's assumptions. As well, we are unable to
speculate whether Newfoundland Power would change its forecast to
utilize more of its own generation, should the demand credit be
unavailable. The system load factor, which is used to classify
hydraulic generation costs, was also impacted by this scenario, and is
subject to these same cautions.